

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/079,741	Applicant(s) KWOK ET AL.	
	Examiner Sathyanarayan Pannala	Art Unit 2164	

All Participants:

(1) Sathyanarayan Pannala

(2) James P Janniello, Reg. No. 54,197

Date of Interview: 18 June 2009

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:00 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
36-37

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sathyanarayan Pannala/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's Representative to discussed the possible rejection of claims 36-37 under 35 U.S.C. 101 and suggested how to overcome the rejection. Applicant agreed in consultation with client and authorized for an Examiner's Amendment in order to expedite the prosecution.